

# Online Appendix for Theory of Decisions by Intra-Dimensional Comparisons

Gerelt Tserenjigmid

California Institute of Technology

July 7, 2015

## Appendix: Changing the Domain

In this online appendix, we demonstrate that how to obtain the main representation result on the domain  $\prod_{i=1}^n [a_i, b_i]$ . Let  $X \equiv \prod_{i=1}^n [a_i, b_i] \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be the set of alternatives. Let  $\succeq$  be a binary relation on  $X$ . Let  $\succ$  (resp.  $\sim$ ) denote the asymmetric (resp. symmetric) part of  $\succeq$ .

Now we define the model and the IDC relations. We say a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  is a *distance-based function* if it is increasing in its first argument and  $f(x, y) = -f(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Note that  $f(x, x) = 0$  and  $f(x, y) > 0$  if  $x > y$ . We say a function  $W : [-1, 1]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an *aggregator* if it is increasing in all its arguments and for any  $i$  and  $t_i \in [-1, 1]$ ,  $W(t_i, \mathbf{0}_{-i}) = t_i$ . Let  $X_0 \equiv \{\mathbf{t} \in X \mid \exists i \text{ such that } t_i = a_i\}$ . Let us call elements of  $X_0$  as zero alternatives and elements of  $X \setminus X_0$  as non zero alternatives. Similar to Theorem 1, we requires two axioms called Regularity\* and Separability\*. Regularity\* is a collection of four postulates.

**Regularity\*.** Let  $\succeq$  be a binary relation on  $X$ .

1. (Completeness\*) For any  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in X$ , either  $\mathbf{x} \succeq \mathbf{y}$  or  $\mathbf{y} \succeq \mathbf{x}$ ;
2. (Continuity\*) For any  $\mathbf{x} \in X$ ,  $\{\mathbf{y} \in X \mid \mathbf{y} \succeq \mathbf{x}\}$  and  $\{\mathbf{y} \in X \mid \mathbf{x} \succeq \mathbf{y}\}$  are closed sets.
3. (Strong Monotonicity\*) For any  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in X \setminus X_0$ , if  $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathbf{y}$  and  $\mathbf{y} > \mathbf{z}$ , then  $\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{z}$ ;
4. (Richness\*) For any  $\mathbf{x} \in X \setminus X_0$  and  $\mathbf{y} \in X_0$ ,  $\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{y}$ .

Completeness\* states that any two alternatives are comparable. Continuity requires that an upper contour set and a lower contour set of any alternative are closed. Strong monotonicity\* implies the standard monotonicity axiom (for non zero alternatives):  $\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{y}$  implies  $\mathbf{x} \succ \mathbf{y}$ . Strong monotonicity\* also requires transitivity where one of three alternatives dominates one of the other two alternatives ( $\mathbf{y} > \mathbf{z}$ ). Richness\* requires that non zero alternatives are always preferred over zero alternatives.

Finally, we impose Separability\* and state the representation theorem.

**Separability\*.** For all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}' \in X \setminus X_0$  and  $i$ , if

$$(x_i, \mathbf{x}_{-i}) \sim (y_i, \mathbf{y}_{-i}), \quad (x'_i, \mathbf{x}_{-i}) \sim (y'_i, \mathbf{y}_{-i}), \quad \text{and}$$

$$(x_i, \mathbf{x}'_{-i}) \sim (y_i, \mathbf{y}'_{-i}), \quad \text{then } (x'_i, \mathbf{x}'_{-i}) \sim (y'_i, \mathbf{y}'_{-i}).$$

**Theorem 2.** A binary relation  $\succeq$  on  $X$  satisfies Regularity\* and Separability\* if and only if there exist distance-based functions  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^n$  and an aggregator  $W$  such that for each  $i$ ,  $f_i$  is continuous at  $X_i^2 \setminus \{(a_i, a_i)\}$  and strictly increasing at  $(a_i, b_i]^2$ ,  $f_n(b_n, x_n) = \frac{b_n - x_n}{b_n - a_n}$  for any  $x_n \in X_n = [a_n, b_n]$ ,  $W$  is continuous and strictly increasing at  $(-1, 1)^n$ , and for any  $\mathbf{x} \in X \setminus X_0$  and  $\mathbf{y} \in X$ ,

$$\mathbf{x} \succeq \mathbf{y} \text{ if and only if } W(f_1(x_1, y_1), \dots, f_n(x_n, y_n)) \geq 0. \quad (3)$$

Moreover,  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^n$  are unique and  $W$  is unique at  $(-1, 1)^n$ .

## Proof of Theorem 2

Since the necessity part is obvious, we only prove the sufficiency part. Suppose a binary relation  $\succeq$  satisfies Regularity\* and Separability\*. We then shall prove that there exist distance-based functions  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^n$  and an aggregator  $W$  such that (3) holds. First, we will prove the following useful lemma. Recall that  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  are the minimum and the maximum of  $X_k = [a_k, b_k]$ , respectively.

**Lemma 3.** Suppose  $\succeq$  satisfies Regularity\*. Take any  $\mathbf{x} \in X \setminus X_0$ . For any  $i$  and  $\mathbf{y}_{-i} \in X_{-i}$  with  $(b_i, \mathbf{y}_{-i}) \succeq \mathbf{x}$ , there exists  $y_i \in (a_i, b_i]$  such that  $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathbf{y} = (y_i, \mathbf{y}_{-i})$ .

*Proof of Lemma 3.* Take any  $\mathbf{x} \in X \setminus X_0$ ,  $i$ , and  $\mathbf{y}_{-i} \in X_{-i}$  such that  $(b_i, \mathbf{y}_{-i}) \succeq \mathbf{x}$ . We shall find  $y_i \in (a_i, b_i]$  such that  $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathbf{y}$ .

By Richness\*, we have  $\mathbf{x} \succ (a_i, \mathbf{y}_{-i})$ . We now construct two infinite sequences  $\{x^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  and  $\{y^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  by the induction. First, let us set  $x^0 = b_i$  and  $y^0 = a_i$ . Suppose we have constructed two sequences  $x^0, \dots, x^k$  and  $y^0, \dots, y^k$ . Now we will define  $x^{k+1}$  and  $y^{k+1}$  in the following way: if  $(\frac{x^k + y^k}{2}, \mathbf{y}_{-i}) \succeq \mathbf{x}$ , then let  $x^{k+1} \equiv \frac{x^k + y^k}{2}$  and  $y^{k+1} \equiv y^k$ ; and if  $(\frac{x^k + y^k}{2}, \mathbf{y}_{-i}) \prec \mathbf{x}$ , then let  $x^{k+1} \equiv x^k$  and  $y^{k+1} \equiv \frac{x^k + y^k}{2}$ . Note that  $\{x^k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a non-increasing sequence,  $\{y^k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a non-decreasing sequence, and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x^k - y^k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x^0 - y^0}{2^k} = 0$ . So there exists  $y^* \in X_i$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x^k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y^k = y^* \in (a_i, b_i]$ . Moreover, by the construction, we have  $(x^k, \mathbf{y}_{-i}) \succeq \mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{x} \succ (y^k, \mathbf{y}_{-i})$  for all  $k$ . By continuity, we have  $(y^*, \mathbf{y}_{-i}) \succeq \mathbf{x} \succeq (y^*, \mathbf{y}_{-i})$ . Therefore,  $\mathbf{x} \sim (y^*, \mathbf{y}_{-i})$ .  $\square$

We use the following useful corollary of Lemma 3.

**Corollary 3.** For any  $i, j$  with  $i \neq j$  and  $x_i, y_i \in (a_i, b_i]$  with  $x_i \geq y_i$ , there exists  $x_j \in (a_j, b_j]$  such that  $(x_i, x_j, \mathbf{b}_{-i, -j}) \sim (y_i, b_j, \mathbf{b}_{-i, -j})$ .

*Proof of Corollary 3.* Since  $x_i \geq y_i$ , we have  $(x_i, b_j, \mathbf{b}_{-i, -j}) \succeq (y_i, b_j, \mathbf{b}_{-i, -j})$  by strong monotonicity. By Lemma 3, there exists  $x_j \in (a_j, b_j]$  such that  $(x_i, x_j, \mathbf{b}_{-i, -j}) \sim (y_i, b_j, \mathbf{b}_{-i, -j})$ .  $\square$

Now we will prove a lemma which shows that there exist distance-based functions consistent (in some sense) with  $\succeq$ .

**Lemma 4.** If  $\succeq$  satisfies Regularity\* and Separability\*, then there exist distance-based functions  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^n$  such that  $f_i$  is continuous at  $X_i^2 \setminus \{(a_i, a_i)\}$  and strictly increasing at  $(a_i, b_i]^2$ , and for any  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in X \setminus X_0$ ,  $i$ , and  $x'_i, y'_i \in (a_i, b_i]$ , if  $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathbf{y}$  and  $f_i(x_i, y_i) = f_i(x'_i, y'_i)$ , then  $(x'_i, \mathbf{x}_{-i}) \sim (y'_i, \mathbf{y}_{-i})$ .

*Proof of Lemma 4.* First, let us construct distance-based functions.

For each  $i < n$ , let  $f_i$  be a function such that for any  $x_i, y_i \in (a_i, b_i]$  with  $x_i > y_i$ ,  $f_i(x_i, y_i) = \frac{b_n - x_n}{b_n - a_n}$  and  $f_i(y_i, x_i) = -\frac{b_n - x_n}{b_n - a_n}$  whenever  $(x_i, x_n, \mathbf{b}_{-i, -n}) \sim (y_i, \mathbf{b}_{-i})$ . By Corollary 3,  $f_i$  is well-defined. Moreover, let  $f_i(x_i, a_i) = 1$  for any  $x_i > a_i$ . By continuity and strong monotonicity,  $f_i$  is also continuous at  $X_i^2 \setminus \{(a_i, a_i)\}$  and strictly increasing in its first argument at  $(a_i, b_i]^2$ .

Now, we will construct  $f_n$ . By Corollary 3, for any  $x_n, y_n \in (a_n, b_n]$  with  $x_n > y_n$ , there exists  $x_1 \in (a_1, b_1]$  such that  $(x_1, x_n, \mathbf{b}_{-1, -n}) \sim (y_n, \mathbf{b}_{-n})$ . Let  $f_n$  be a function such that for any  $x_n, y_n \in (a_n, b_n]$  with  $x_n > y_n$ ,  $f_n(x_n, y_n) = f_1(b_1, x_1)$  and  $f_n(y_n, x_n) = f_1(x_1, b_1)$  whenever  $(x_1, x_n, \mathbf{b}_{-1, -n}) \sim (y_n, \mathbf{b}_{-n})$ . Moreover, let  $f_n(x_n, a_n) = 1$  for any  $x_n > a_n$ . Similarly,  $f_n$  is well-defined, continuous at  $X_n^2 \setminus \{(a_n, a_n)\}$ , and strictly increasing in its first argument at  $(a_n, b_n]^2$ . Also, note that by the definition of  $f_1$ ,  $f_n(b_n, x_n) = \frac{b_n - x_n}{b_n - a_n}$  for all  $x_n \in X_n$ .

Now, we will prove that we constructed desired distance-based functions. Take any  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in X$ ,  $i$ , and  $x'_i, y'_i \in X_i$  such that  $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathbf{y}$  and  $f_i(x_i, y_i) = f_i(x'_i, y'_i)$ . We shall prove that  $(x'_i, \mathbf{x}_{-i}) \sim (y'_i, \mathbf{y}_{-i})$ . Without loss of generality, suppose  $x_i \geq y_i$ . We consider two cases.

**Case 1:**  $i < n$ .

Take some  $\bar{x}_n \in (a_n, b_n]$  such that  $f_i(x_i, y_i) = f_i(x'_i, y'_i) = \frac{b_n - \bar{x}_n}{b_n - a_n}$ . By the definition of  $f_i$ , we obtain  $(x_i, \bar{x}_n, \mathbf{b}_{-i, -n}) \sim (y_i, \mathbf{b}_{-i})$  and  $(x'_i, \bar{x}_n, \mathbf{b}_{-i, -n}) \sim (y'_i, \mathbf{b}_{-i})$ . Since  $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathbf{y}$ ,  $(x_i, \bar{x}_n, \mathbf{b}_{-i, -n}) \sim (y_i, \mathbf{b}_{-i})$ , and  $(x'_i, \bar{x}_n, \mathbf{b}_{-i, -n}) \sim (y'_i, \mathbf{b}_{-i})$ , by Separability\*,  $(x'_i, \mathbf{x}_{-i}) \sim (y'_i, \mathbf{y}_{-i})$  holds.

**Case 2:**  $i = n$ .

Take some  $\bar{x}_1 \in (a_1, b_1]$  such that  $f_n(x_n, y_n) = f_n(x'_n, y'_n) = f_1(b_1, \bar{x}_1)$ . By the definition of  $f_n$ , we obtain  $(\bar{x}_1, x_n, \mathbf{b}_{-1, -n}) \sim (y_n, \mathbf{b}_{-n})$  and  $(\bar{x}_1, x'_n, \mathbf{b}_{-1, -n}) \sim (y'_n, \mathbf{b}_{-n})$ . Since  $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathbf{y}$ ,  $(\bar{x}_1, x_n, \mathbf{b}_{-1, -n}) \sim (y_n, \mathbf{b}_{-n})$ , and  $(\bar{x}_1, x'_n, \mathbf{b}_{-1, -n}) \sim (y'_n, \mathbf{b}_{-n})$ , by Separability\*,  $(x'_n, \mathbf{x}_{-n}) \sim (y'_n, \mathbf{y}_{-n})$  holds.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.** For any  $i$ , the range  $f_i(X_i^2) = [-1, 1]$ .

*Proof of Corollary 4.* When  $i = n$ , note that  $f_i(b_n, x_n) = \frac{b_n - x_n}{b_n - a_n}$  can take any number in  $[0, 1]$  by appropriately choosing  $x_n$ . Therefore,  $f_n(X_n^2) = [-1, 1]$ . Now suppose  $i < n$ . By Corollary 3, for any  $x_n \in (a_n, b_n]$ , there exists  $x_i \in (a_i, b_i]$  such that  $(x_i, b_n, \mathbf{b}_{-i, -n}) \sim (b_i, x_n, \mathbf{b}_{-i, -n})$ . By the construction of  $f_i$ , we have  $f_i(b_i, x_i) = f_n(b_n, x_n) = \frac{b_n - x_n}{b_n - a_n}$ . Therefore,  $(-1, 1) \subset f_i(X_i^2)$ . Moreover, since  $f_i(x_i, a_i) = 1$  for any  $x_i > a_i$ , we have  $f_i(X_i^2) = [-1, 1]$ .  $\square$

Finally, we will prove Theorem 2. We shall find increasing function  $W$  such that  $W$  is continuous and strictly increasing at  $(-1, 1)^n$  and  $W(t_i, \mathbf{0}_{-i}) = t_i$  and  $W(\mathbf{t}) = W(\mathbf{t}_{-n}, 0_n) + t_n$  for any  $t \in (-1, 1)^n$ . We construct  $W$  in the following way. First, for any  $\mathbf{t}_{-n} \in (-1, 1)^{n-1}$ , we construct  $W(\mathbf{t}_{-n}, 0_n)$ . Then for any  $\mathbf{t} \in (-1, 1)^n$ , we set  $W(\mathbf{t}) \equiv W(\mathbf{t}_{-n}, 0_n) + t_n$ . In order to construct  $W(\mathbf{t}_{-n}, 0_n)$ , for each  $\mathbf{t}_{-n} \in (-1, 1)^{n-1}$  we will find  $\bar{x}_n, \bar{y}_n \in (a_n, b_n]$  (to be described later) and set  $W(\mathbf{t}_{-n}, 0_n) \equiv f_n(\bar{y}_n, \bar{x}_n)$ .

Take  $\mathbf{t}_{-n} \in (-1, 1)^{n-1}$ . We will construct  $\bar{x}_n$  and  $\bar{y}_n$  by the following two claims.

**Claim 3:** For any  $j < n$ , there exist  $\bar{x}_j, \bar{y}_j \in (a_j, b_j]$  such that  $t_j = f_j(\bar{x}_j, \bar{y}_j)$ .

For any  $j$ , note that  $f_j(b_j, (a_j, b_j]) = [0, 1)$  by the construction of  $f_j$ . Therefore, there exists  $z_j \in (a_j, b_j]$  such that  $f_j(b_j, z_j) = |t_j|$ . Then we have  $t_j = f_j(\bar{x}_j, \bar{y}_j)$  by setting  $(\bar{x}_j, \bar{y}_j) = (b_j, z_j)$  when  $t_j \geq 0$  and  $(\bar{x}_j, \bar{y}_j) = (z_j, b_j)$  when  $t_j < 0$ .

Now suppose we have constructed  $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_{n-1}, \bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_{n-1}$  by Claim 3.

**Claim 4:** There exist  $\bar{x}_n, \bar{y}_n \in (a_n, b_n]$  such that  $\bar{\mathbf{x}} \sim \bar{\mathbf{y}}$ .

We consider two cases. First, suppose  $(b_n, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{-n}) \succeq (b_n, \bar{\mathbf{y}}_{-n})$ . By Corollary 3, there exists  $z_n \in (a_n, b_n]$  such that  $(z_n, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{-n}) \sim (b_n, \bar{\mathbf{y}}_{-n})$ . So we set  $(\bar{x}_n, \bar{y}_n) = (z_n, b_n)$ . Second, suppose  $(b_n, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{-n}) \prec (b_n, \bar{\mathbf{y}}_{-n})$ . By Corollary 3, there exists  $z_n \in (a_n, b_n]$  such that  $(b_n, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{-n}) \sim (z_n, \bar{\mathbf{y}}_{-n})$ . Similarly,  $z_n \neq a_n$ . So we set  $(\bar{x}_n, \bar{y}_n) = (b_n, z_n)$ .

Now let  $W(\mathbf{t}_{-n}, 0_n) \equiv f_n(\bar{y}_n, \bar{x}_n)$  and  $W(\mathbf{t}) \equiv W(\mathbf{t}_{-n}, 0_n) + t_n$  for any  $\mathbf{t} \in (-1, 1)^n$ . By this construction,  $W$  is continuous and strictly increasing in all its arguments at  $(-1, 1)^n$ . Finally, we extend  $W$  to  $[-1, 1]^n$ . Take any  $\mathbf{t} \in [-1, 1]^n \setminus (-1, 1)^n$ . First, if there are  $i$  and  $j$  such that  $t_i = 1$  and  $t_j = -1$ , then we set  $W(\mathbf{t}) = 0$ . Second, if there is  $i$  such that  $t_i = 1$ , but no  $j$  such that  $t_j = -1$ , then we set  $W(\mathbf{t}) = 1$ . Finally, if there is  $i$  such that  $t_i = -1$ , but no  $j$  such that  $t_j = 1$ , then we set  $W(\mathbf{t}) = -1$ .

Now we shall prove that for any  $\mathbf{x} \in X \setminus X_0$  and  $\mathbf{y} \in X$ ,

$$\mathbf{x} \succeq \mathbf{y} \text{ if and only if } W((f_i(x_i, y_i))_i) \geq 0.$$

When  $\mathbf{y} \in X_0$ , then by Richness\*,  $\mathbf{x} \succ \mathbf{y}$ . Note that for each  $i$ ,  $f_i(x_i, y_i) > -1$  since  $x_i \neq a_i$ . Moreover, for each  $j$  such that  $y_j = a_j$ , we have  $f_j(x_j, y_j) = 1$ . Therefore, by the construction of  $W$ ,  $W((f_i(x_i, y_i))_i) = 1 > 0$ . Now we consider the case  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in X \setminus X_0$ . Consequently,  $(f_i(x_i, y_i))_{i=1}^n \in (-1, 1)^n$ .

Since  $W$  is continuous and strictly increasing in all its arguments at  $(-1, 1)$  and each  $f_i$  is continuous and strictly increasing in its first argument at  $(a_i, b_i]^2$ , it is enough to prove that  $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathbf{y}$  implies  $W((f_i(x_i, y_i))_i) = 0$ .

Take any  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in X \setminus X_0$  with  $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathbf{y}$ . First, let  $\mathbf{t}_{-n} = ((f_i(x_i, y_i))_{i < n})$ . Then by the above procedure that involves Claims 3-4, we find  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{y}} \in X \setminus X_0$  such that  $f_j(\bar{x}_j, \bar{y}_j) = t_j$  for all  $j < n$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{x}} \sim \bar{\mathbf{y}}$ . By the construction of  $W$ , we have  $W((f_i(x_i, y_i))_i) = W((f_i(x_i, y_i))_{i < n}, 0_n) + f_n(x_n, y_n) = f_n(\bar{y}_n, \bar{x}_n) + f_n(x_n, y_n)$ .

Since  $f_1(x_1, y_1) = f_1(\bar{x}_1, \bar{y}_1) = t_1$ , by Lemma 4,  $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathbf{y}$  implies  $(\bar{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_{-1}) \sim (\bar{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_{-1})$ . Similarly, since  $f_2(x_2, y_2) = f_2(\bar{x}_2, \bar{y}_2) = t_2$ , by Lemma 4,  $(\bar{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_{-1}) \sim (\bar{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_{-1})$  implies  $(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_{-1, -2}) \sim (\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2, \mathbf{y}_{-1, -2})$ . Since  $f_j(\bar{x}_j, \bar{y}_j) = t_j$  for all  $j < n$ , by repeating this argument  $n-1$  times, we will obtain that  $(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{-n}, x_n) \sim (\bar{\mathbf{y}}_{-n}, y_n)$ . Moreover, since  $\bar{\mathbf{x}} \sim \bar{\mathbf{y}}$ , by Lemma 4,  $(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{-n}, x_n) \sim (\bar{\mathbf{y}}_{-n}, y_n)$  implies  $f_n(y_n, x_n) = f_n(\bar{y}_n, \bar{x}_n)$ . In other words,  $W((f_i(x_i, y_i))_i) = f_n(\bar{y}_n, \bar{x}_n) + f_n(x_n, y_n) = 0$ .

Lastly, we show that  $W$  is an aggregator; that is,  $W(t_i, \mathbf{0}_{-i}) = t_i$  for any  $t_i \in (-1, 1)$ . By the construction of  $W$ , it is obvious when  $i = n$ . Now take any  $i < n$  and  $t_i \in [0, 1)$ . There exist  $x_i, y_i \in (a_i, b_i]$  such that  $f_i(x_i, y_i) = -t_i = f_n(b_n(1-t_i) + a_n t_i, b_n)$ . By the construction of  $f_i$ , we have  $(x_i, \mathbf{b}_{-i}) \sim (y_i, b_n(1-t_i) + a_n t_i, \mathbf{b}_{-i, -n})$ . Then since  $W(\mathbf{t}) = W(\mathbf{t}_{-n}, 0_n) + t_n$  for each  $\mathbf{t} \in (-1, 1)^n$ , we obtain  $0 = W(f_i(y_i, x_i), f_n(b_n(1-t_i) + a_n t_i, b_n), \mathbf{0}_{-i, -n}) = W(f_i(y_i, x_i), \mathbf{0}_{-i}) +$

$f_n(b_n(1 - t_i) + a_n t_i, b_n) = W(t_i, \mathbf{0}_{-i}) - t_i$ . A similar argument works for any  $t_i \in (-1, 0]$ .

**Uniqueness:** Suppose there are two sets of functions  $(W, \{f_i\}_{i=1}^n)$  and  $(W', \{f'_i\}_{i=1}^n)$  such that  $W(\mathbf{t}) = W(\mathbf{t}_{-n}, 0_n) + t_n$  and  $W'(\mathbf{t}) = W'(\mathbf{t}_{-n}, 0_n) + t_n$  for any  $\mathbf{t} \in (-1, 1)^n$  and  $f_n(b_n, x_n) = f'_n(b_n, x_n) = \frac{b_n - x_n}{b_n - a_n}$  for any  $x_n \in X_n$  that satisfy (3). We shall prove that  $f_i = f'_i$  for any  $i$  and  $W = W'$  at  $(-1, 1)^n$ .

Take any  $i < n$  and  $x_i, y_i \in X_i$  with  $x_i > y_i$ . We shall prove that  $f_i(x_i, y_i) = f'_i(x_i, y_i)$ . When  $y_i = a_i$ , we have  $f_i(x_i, y_i) = f'_i(x_i, y_i) = 1$ . Now suppose  $y_i > a_i$ . By Corollary 3, there exists  $x_n \in (a_n, b_n]$  such that  $(x_i, x_n, \mathbf{b}_{-i, -n}) \sim (y_i, \mathbf{b}_{-i})$ . By (3), we have

$$W(f_i(x_i, y_i), \mathbf{0}_{-i, -n}, f_n(x_n, b_n)) = W(f_i(x_i, y_i), \mathbf{0}_{-i}) + f_n(x_n, b_n) = f_i(x_i, y_i) - \frac{b_n - x_n}{b_n - a_n} = 0.$$

Similarly,

$$W'(f'_i(x_i, y_i), \mathbf{0}_{-i, -n}, f'_n(x_n, b_n)) = f'_i(x_i, y_i) - \frac{b_n - x_n}{b_n - a_n} = 0.$$

Then we will obtain that  $f_i(x_i, y_i) = f'_i(x_i, y_i) = \frac{b_n - x_n}{b_n - a_n}$ . Therefore,  $f_i = f'_i$  for any  $i < n$ .

Now we will prove that  $f_n = f'_n$ . Take any  $x_n, y_n \in X_n$  with  $x_n < y_n$ . We shall prove that  $f_n(y_n, x_n) = f'_n(y_n, x_n)$ . When  $x_n = a_n$ , we have  $f_n(y_n, x_n) = f'_n(y_n, x_n) = 1$ . Now suppose  $x_n > a_n$ . By Corollary 3, there exists  $y_1 \in X_1$  such that  $(x_n, \mathbf{b}_{-n}) \sim (y_1, y_n, \mathbf{b}_{-1, -n})$ . By (3),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= W(f_1(b_1, y_1), \mathbf{0}_{-1, -n}, f_n(x_n, y_n)) = W(f_1(b_1, y_1), \mathbf{0}_{-1}) + f_n(x_n, y_n), \text{ (since } W(\mathbf{t}) = W(\mathbf{t}_{-n}, 0_n) + t_n) \\ &= f_1(b_1, y_1) + f_n(x_n, y_n), \text{ (by the definition of aggregator),} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$W'(f'_1(b_1, y_1), \mathbf{0}_{-1, -n}, f'_n(x_n, y_n)) = f'_1(b_1, y_1) + f'_n(x_n, y_n) = 0.$$

Since  $f_1 = f'_1$ , we obtain that  $f_n(y_n, x_n) = f'_n(y_n, x_n) = f_1(b_1, y_1)$ . Therefore,  $f_n = f'_n$ .

Finally, we shall prove that  $W = W'$  at  $(-1, 1)^n$ . Since  $W(\mathbf{t}) = W(\mathbf{t}_{-n}, 0_n) + t_n$  and  $W'(\mathbf{t}) = W'(\mathbf{t}_{-n}, 0_n) + t_n$ , it is enough to prove that  $W(\mathbf{t}_{-n}, 0_n) = W'(\mathbf{t}_{-n}, 0_n)$  for any  $\mathbf{t}_{-n} \in (-1, 1)^{n-1}$ .

Now take any  $\mathbf{t}_{-n} \in (-1, 1)^{n-1}$ . For each  $i < n$ , there exist  $x_i, y_i \in X_i$  such that  $t_i = f_i(x_i, y_i) = f'_i(x_i, y_i)$  by Claim 3. Moreover, by Claim 4, there exist  $x_n, y_n \in X_n$  such that

$\mathbf{x} \sim \mathbf{y}$ . Let  $t_n = f_n(x_n, y_n) = f'_n(x_n, y_n)$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} W(\mathbf{t}_{-n}, 0) &= W(\mathbf{t}_{-n}, t_n) - t_n = 0 - t_n, \text{ (by } \mathbf{x} \sim \mathbf{y} \text{ and (3))}, \\ &= W'(\mathbf{t}_{-n}, t_n) - t_n, \text{ (by } \mathbf{x} \sim \mathbf{y} \text{ and (3))}, \\ &= W'(\mathbf{t}_{-n}, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $W = W'$  at  $(-1, 1)^n$ .